Pharmacoeconomic Analysis of Vaccination in Developed Versus Developing Countries

Gelişmiş ve Gelişmekte Olan Ülkelerde Aşılamanın Farmakoekonomik Analizinin Kıyaslaması

E. David McIntosh

Faculty Education Office, Imperial College Faculty of Medicine, London, UK

Abstract

Pharmacoeconomic analyses are performed preferentially in developed countries where there is an imperative to obtain "value for money" and to achieve cost-effectiveness. Such analyses are performed in low-income/developing countries and middle-income countries, partly to save scarce resources but also to improve the overall economic outlook, promote productivity gains, and foster further investment in vaccination. Analyses appear to be performed preferentially for therapeutic products as opposed to vaccines, but this may change as the impact of applying the results of pharmacoeconomic analyses of vaccines are measured and quantified.

(J Pediatr Inf 2014; 8: 110-20)

Key words: Pharmacoeconomic analysis, vaccination, developing countries

Introduction

Are pharmacoeconomic analyses performed preferentially in developed countries? If they are, is this because there is a greater desire to obtain "value for money" in developed countries as opposed to developing countries? Should it not be the other way around? Are such analyses performed preferentially for therapeutic products as opposed to vaccines? Are the results of such analyses more impactful in developed or in developing countries? These and other questions will be addressed by this review of published studies performed over the last 2 decades.

As implied above, the impact of favorable (or unfavorable) pharmacoeconomic analyses can be enormous, in that they are used to guide decision-making. Perhaps the most striking example of this impact (in developed countries)

Özet

Farmakoekonomik analizler, "paranın karşılığını" almanın ve maliyet verimliliği elde etmenin zorunlu olduğu tercihan gelişmiş ülkelerde gerçekleştirilmektedir. Bu tip analizler, eldeki kısıtlı kaynakları kısmen idareli kullanmak, aynı zamanda genel ekonomik görünümü iyileştirmek, verimlilik kazanımlarını arttırmak ve aşılamada daha fazla yatırımı desteklemek için düşük gelirli/gelişmekte olan ülkelerde ve orta gelirli ülkelerde gerçekleştirilir. Bu analizlerin, sanılanın aksine aşılar için değil, genelde tedavi edici ürünler için yapıldığı görülmektedir, ama bu durum aşıların farmakoekonomik analiz sonuçlarının uygulamadaki etkilerinin ölçülmesiyle değişecektir. (J Pediatr Inf 2014: 8: 110-20)

Anahtar kelimeler: Farmakoekonomik analiz, aşılama, gelişmekte olan ülkeler

is that of the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), where guidance is based on measures, such as quality-adjusted life-years, where randomized controlled clinical trials are used as the benchmark if possible and where modeling is performed and thresholds are set.

Similar health technology assessment exercises are performed in many other developed countries, such as the Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee (PBAC) in Australia, the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH), the Scottish Medicines Consortium (SMC), and the Zorg Instituut Nederland (Care Institute NL). In fact, the European Medicines Agency works closely with the European Network for Health Technology Assessment (EUnetHTA), although pharmacoeconomic analyses are still generally a national issue. Despite the relative sophistication of the

Received/Geliş Tarihi: 18.07.2014 Accepted/Kabul Tarihi: 18.08.2014

Correspondence Address *Yazışma Adresi:* David McIntosh Faculty of Medicine, Alexander Fleming Building, Imperial College, Exhibition Road, South Kensington, SWT 2AZ, *London, UK* Phone: +44 20 7594 9803 E-mail: e.mcintosh@imperial.ac.uk

©Copyright 2014 by Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society - Available online at www.cocukenfeksiyon.org ©Telif Hakkı 2014 Çocuk Enfeksiyon Hastalıkları Derneği - Makale metnine www.cocukenfeksiyon.org web sayfasından ulaşılabilir. DOI:10.5152/ced.2014.0007



drug reimbursement decision-making processes in countries of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), one health policy analysis reported that only 5 of 33 OECD countries (Ireland, Norway, Portugal, Slovak Republic, and Slovenia) had fully transparent systems, using both clinical and cost-effectiveness evidence, and have a formal appeal mechanism to a separate committee from that that made the first decision (1). Notably, it is the latter that is lacking in countries, such as England, Scotland, and the Netherlands.

Responding to the perceived need for health technology assessment exercises to be performed in developing countries, the Health Technology Assessment International Interest Sub-Group on Developing Countries (HTAi DC ISG) was launched in 2008. Furthermore, it is being recognized that health technology assessments will play an increasing role in the future development of the healthcare sector in countries, such as India (2), and international organizations, such as the Pan American Health Organization, have been instrumental in defining health technology assessment methodologies suitable for developing countries (3). We caution, however, that published state-of-the-art health economic research can be misleading. Correspondence regarding how health economic research is represented from South Africa (4) draws attention to the shortcomings of what is purported to be a systematic review of health economic research in South Africa (5).

The purpose of this review is to compare and contrast pharmacoeconomic analyses in developing and developed countries.

Material and Methods

Medline searches were performed, covering the period from 1996 to June 2014, using the terms "pharmacoeconomics" or "pharmaceutical economics" and "vaccines" or "immunization" (search A), and for the period from 2013 to June 2014 using the terms "cost-benefit analysis" or "cost-effectiveness" and "vaccines" or "immunization" (search B). A third search was performed to help answer the question about pharmacoeconomic analyses in therapeutics as opposed to vaccines, for the period from 2013 to June 2014 simply using the term "pharmacoeconomics" (search C). No language or country restrictions were imposed. No unpublished studies were sought. Papers were considered relevant if there was an attempt to quantify cost-benefit, cost-utility, cost-effectiveness or cost-minimization and/or if there was an attempt to gather data for such purposes.

Results

Search (A) yielded 40 citations, of which 27 were relevant (Table 1). Search (B) yielded 150 citations, of which

86 were relevant (Table 2). Only one citation (6) was common to both searches.

There were 20 studies (17% of a total of 112) devoted to developing (low-income) countries (see Tables 1 and 2 for references). Five deal directly with African countries: a malaria vaccine for sub-Saharan Africa, a rotavirus vaccine for Ethiopia (grouped with India), a rotavirus vaccine for Malawi, a pneumococcal conjugate vaccine for Kenya, and a measles vaccine for South Africa. Four deal with India: one study addressing all vaccines, one addressing rotavirus (the one grouped with Ethiopia), and two addressing Hib. While Brazil and Mexico are otherwise grouped with "middle-income countries," other Latin America countries are represented by 9 studies: pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (6), human papilloma virus (HPV), rotavirus vaccine [2 grouped with pneumococcal vaccine (PCV)], the expanded program on immunization (EPI) (1), Hib (1 grouped with PCV and rotavirus), and varicella. Three remaining studies cover HPV in all developing countries (7), vaccines for neglected diseases in Advance Market Commitment countries (8), and the measles/rubella vaccine as part of the Global Vaccine Action Plan (9).

Regarding middle-income countries, there were 14 studies (Tables 1, 2) <: PCV in Turkey, Mexico, and Thailand; 2 studies on Hib; 3 studies on HPV (including 1 in Malaysia); 1 study on meningococcal conjugate vaccine in Brazil; 1 on rotavirus vaccine in Indonesia; 2 studies on dengue vaccine in Brazil; and 1 study each on hepatitis A virus vaccine and influenza vaccine.

There were 13 studies that took a "global" perspective (Tables 1, 2): not surprisingly, influenza vaccination was represented by 4 studies; varicella and HPV were represented by 2 each; rotavirus vaccine, poliomyelitis vaccine, and rubella vaccine were represented by 1 each; and 2 studies addressed "all" vaccines (10, 11).

The remaining 75 studies were thus directed towards analyses performed in developed countries (Tables 1, 2). These consisted of: 10 each for pneumococcal conjugate vaccine and human papillomavirus vaccine; 9 each for rotavirus vaccine and influenza vaccine; 7 for pertussis or Tdap; 5 for varicella vaccine; 4 each for meningococcal vaccine and HBV vaccine; 3 each for MMR and "all" vaccines; and 1 each for respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) vaccine, "varicella/pneumococcal/pertussis/HAV in the elderly," and for vaccine barcodes" (the total adds up to more than 75, because several studies covered more than 1 vaccine).

Search (C) produced 125 studies (in any country) of relevance: 3 relating to vaccines, 83 relating to specific therapeutic areas, and 39 relating to "generic" aspects of pharmacoeconomic analyses (Table 3).

Vaccine	Journal	Year	Country	Author
Pneumococcal conjugate	Value in Health	2012	Turkey	Turel O (6)*
Rotavirus	Drugs in R&D	2012	"Developed" countries	Plosker GL (35)#
HPV	Value in Health	2012	Taiwan	Demarteau N (36)#
HPV	Value in Health	2012	"Developing" countries	Termrungruanglert W (7)
Pneumococcal conjugate	Value in Health	2012	Taiwan	Wu DB (37)#
Malaria	Value in Health	2011	Sub-Saharan Africa	Maire N (28) ^{\$}
Men C conjugate	Value in Health	2011	Brazil	de Soarez PC (38)*
Seasonal flu	Value in Health	2011	USA	Clements KM (39)#
Pneumococcal conjugate	Vaccine	2011	Latin America	Giglio N (40) ^{\$}
Pneumococcal conjugate	Value in Health	2011	Mexico	Mucino-Ortega (41)*
Seasonal flu	Value in Health	2011	USA	Prosser LA (42)#
HPV	J Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics	2011	Global	Pomfret TC (43)&
HPV	Asian Pacific J of Cancer Prevention	2010	Malaysia	Ezat WP (24)*
HPV	J Managed Care Pharmacy	2010	USA	Armstrong EP (44)#
Hib	Expert Rev of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research	2009	Low and middle income countries	Griffiths UK (45)*
Target vaccines	J Managed Care Pharmacy	2007	Global	Armstrong EP (10) ^{&}
Neglected diseases	Health Economics	2007	Advance Market Commitments	Berndt ER (8) ^{\$}
Influenza	Vaccine	2006	25 EU countries	Ryan J (46)#
Meningococcal B and pneumo	Pharmacoeconomics	2006	Netherlands	Bos JM (47)#
Rotavirus	PIDJ	2006	Europe	Rheingans RD (48)#
Varicella	Pharmacoeconomics	2004	Germany	Hammerschmidt T (49)#
Pneumococcal conjugate	Expert Review of Vaccines	2003	Netherlands	Postma MJ (50)#
Varicella	Pharmacoeconomics	2003	Global	Thiry N (51) ^{&}
Influenza	Drugs	2002	Global	Postma MJ (52) ^{&}
Acellular pertussis	Pharmacoeconomics	2001	Canada	lskedjian M (53) [#]
Influenza	Drugs and Aging	2000	Global	Postma MJ (54) ^{&}
Rotavirus	Annals of Pharmacotherapy	1999	Global	Wandstrat TL (55)&

Table 1. Search result (A) "pharmacoeconomics" or "pharmaceutical economics" and "vaccines" or "immunization" (1996 to June 2014): citations of relevance

#: Developed countries

\$: Developing countries

&: Global

HPV: the human papilloma virus

Discussion

The main observation is that a wide variety and breadth of vaccines have been studied in all age groups and in many countries. There are relatively few analyses (17%) directly related to developing countries. The possible reasons may be the lack of reliable input data (12) or the lack of resources to provide the vaccine, even if it was shown to be cost-effective (13). Even so, when pharmacoeconomic studies are performed, as for example in a study of medications at two tertiary care hospitals in Pakistan, one of the stated reasons for performing the study was "to save economic resources" (14). However, in a study on the prevention of cervical cancer in the Brazilian Amazon region, which, for the purposes of this paper, was classified as "developing," the authors con-

Vaccine	Journal	Year	Country	Author
HPV	Vaccine	2013	Israel	Ginsberg GM (56)#
HAV	Expert Review of Vaccines	2013	Middle-income countries	Suwantika AA (22)*
Pertussis	Vaccine	2013	Netherlands	Lugner AK (57)#
Rotavirus	Vaccine	2013	Taiwan	Chang WC (58)#
Influenza	Vaccine	2013	Global	Peasah SK (59) ^{&}
Influenza	Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics	2013	Low and middle income countries	Ott JJ (23)*
Tdap	PLoS ONE	2013	USA	McGarry LJ (60)#
Measles and rubella	Bundesgesundheaitsblatt, Gesundheitsforschung, Gesundheitsschutz	2013	Germany	Wichmann O (33) [#]
Measles and rubella	Vaccine	2013	Global Vaccine Action Plan	Thompson KM (9)\$
HPV	Revista Da Associacao Medica Brasileira	2013	Brazilian Amazon	Fonseca AJ (15) ^{\$}
Meningococcal B	Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics	2013	Netherlands	Pouwels KB (61) [#]
HBV	Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics	2013	Italy	Boccalini S (26)#
All	Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics	2013	Global	Postma MJ (11)&
Rotavirus	Journal of Medical Economics	2013	Japan	Itzler R (62)#
HPV	Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics	2013	France	Bresse X (63)#
Seasonal influenza	Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics	2013	UK	Jit M (64)#
Varicella	Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics	2013	Belgium	Bilcke J (65)#
HPV	Vaccine	2013	Canada	Brisson M (66)#
HPV	Vaccine	2013	Low and middle income countries	Fesenfeld M (13)*
HPV	Vaccine	2013	Netherlands	Luttjeboer J (67)#
Pneumococcal conjugate	Vaccine	2013	USA	Smith KJ (68)#
Dengue	Vaccine	2013	Brazil	Durham DP (29)*
HPV	Vaccine	2013	Belgium	Demarteau N (69)#
HBV	Vaccine	2013	USA	Kuan RK (70)#
All available	Vaccine	2013	Spain	Cortes I (71)#
HPV	International J of Cancer	2014	Canada	Drolet M (72)#
Rotavirus	Vaccine	2013	India and Ethiopia	Verguet S (18) ^{\$}
HBV	Pediatrics	2014	USA	Barbosa C (27)#
RSV	Vaccine	2013	USA	Regnier SA (31)#
Dengue	Seminars in Immunology	2013	Brazil	Barnighausen T (30)*
Avian influenza	Biosystems	2013	USA	Agusto FB (73)#
All	Indian J Medical Ethics	2013	India	Jayakrishnan T (12) ^{\$}
Rotavirus	Tropical Medicine and International Health	2014	Malawi	Madsen LB (19) ^{\$}
Pertussis	Vaccine	2013	Japan	Itatani T (74)#
HPV	BMC Infectious Diseases	2013	Estonia	Uuskula A (75)#
Pneumococcal conjugate	Vaccine	2013	Thailand	Kulpeng W (76)*
MMR	Occupational Medicine	2013	UK (healthcare workers)	Giri P (77)#
Pneumococcal conjugate	Vaccine	2013	Japan	Hoshi SL (78)#
Pneumococcal conjugate	BMC Public Health	2013	Peru	Gomez JA (79)\$
Measles	Vaccine	2013	Rep. of Korea	Bae GR (32)#

 Table 2. Search result (B) "cost-benefit analysis" or "cost-effectiveness" and "vaccines" or "immunization" (2013 to June 2014):

 citations of relevance

 Table 2. Search result (B) "cost-benefit analysis" or "cost-effectiveness" and "vaccines" or "immunization" (2013 to June 2014):

 citations of relevance (continued)

Vaccine	Journal	Year	Country	Author
MenB	Vaccine	2013	UK	Christensen H (80)#
Varicella, pneumo, pertussis, HAV	BMC Geriatrics	2013	Netherlands	Eilers R (81)#
Rotavirus, pneumococcal	Vaccine	2013	Colombia/global	de la Hoz-Restrepo F (82) ^{\$}
EPI	Vaccine	2013	Colombia	Castaneda-Orjuela C (20) ^{\$}
Pneumococcal conjugate	Vaccine	2013	Latin America and Caribbean	Bahia L (83) ^{\$}
Hib, pneumo, rotavirus	Vaccine	2013	Latin America, global	Clark A (84) ^{\$}
Rotavirus, pneumococcal	Vaccine	2013	Latin America	de Oliveira LH (85) ^{\$}
Influenza	Vaccine	2013	USA	Yoo BK (86)#
Rotavirus	Vaccine	2013	Indonesia	Suwantika AA (87)*
Vaccine barcode	Vaccine	2013	USA	O'Connor AC (88)#
Pneumococcal conjugate	PLoS ONE	2013	Kenya	Ayieko P (16) ^{\$}
Poliomyelitis	Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London	2013	Global	Barrett S (89)&
Varicella	Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research	2013	France	Bresse X (90)#
Tdap	Vaccine	2013	USA	Ding Y (91)#
Meningococcal	PLoS ONE	2013	Netherlands	Hepkema H (92)#
Pneumococcal conjugate	Journal of the Formosan Medical Association	2013	Taiwan	Wu DB (93)#
Pneumococcal and influenza	American Journal of Managed Care	2013	USA	Lin CJ (94)#
Hib	Health Policy & Planning	2013	India	Gupta M (17) ^{\$}
Pneumococcal	Value in Health	2013	Turkey	Turel O (6)*
Pneumococcal conjugate	Pediatrics	2013	USA	Stoecker C (95)#
Hib	Journal of Pediatrics	2013	India	Clark AD (96) ^{\$}
Hib	Journal of Pediatrics	2013	Low- and middle-income	Griffiths UK (97)*
Tdap	Pediatrics	2013	USA	Terranella A (98)#
Rotavirus	BMC Medicine	2013	Netherlands	Bruijning-Verhagen P (99) [#]
All and HPV	Expert Review of Vaccines	2013	Europe, Netherlands	Postma MJ (100)#
Rubella	BMC Public Health	2013	Global	Babigumira JB (101) ^{&}
Influenza	BMC Infectious Diseases	2013	Global	Kelso JK (25) ^{&}
Pneumococcal conjugate	American Journal of Preventive Medicine	2013	USA	Smith KJ (102)#
All	Vaccine	2013	Spain	Garcia-Altes A (103)#
Varicella	Vaccine	2013	USA	Goldman GS (104)#
Measles	Global Health Action	2013	South Africa	Verguet S (34) ^{\$}
Rotavirus	Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health	2013	Korea	Kang HY (105)#
HPV	BMC Medicine	2013	Low- and middle- income countries	Jit M (106)*
Rotavirus	Annali di Igiene	2013	Italy	Vitale F (107)#
HPV	BMC Infectious Diseases	2013	Netherlands	Westra TA (108)#

Table 2. Search result (B) "cost-benefit analysis" or "cost-effectiveness" and "vaccines" or "immunization" (2013 to June 2014):	
citations of relevance (continued)	

Vaccine	Journal	Year	Country	Author
Pneumococcal conjugate	Clinical Therapeutics	2013	Denmark and Sweden	Klok RM (109)#
Influenza	BMC Infectious Diseases	2013	Belgium	van Vlaenderen I (110)#
Varicella	Vaccine	2013	Netherlands	de Boer PT (111)#
Rotavirus	BMC Infectious Diseases	2013	Netherlands	Tu HA (112)#
Pertussis	Vaccine	2013	Italy	Meregaglia M (113)#
Varicella	Pharmacoeconomics	2013	Global	Szucx TD (114)&
HPV	Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology	2013	Global	Esselen KM (115)&
Rotavirus	PLoS ONE	2013	Belgium	Standaert B (116)#
Influenza	Vaccine	2013	UK	Pitman RJ (117)#
Varicella	Vaccine	2013	Colombia	Paternina-Caicedo A (118) ^{\$}
HBV	Diabetes Care	2013	USA	Hoerger TJ (119)#

*: Middle income countries

#: Developed countries\$: Developing countries

[&]: Global

HPV: the human papilloma virus; HAV: hepatitis A vaccination; EPI: the expanded programme on immunization; HBV: hepatitis B vaccination; MMR: measles, mumps, and rubella

clude that HPV vaccination "has a favorable profile in terms of cost-utility, and its inclusion in the immunization schedule would result in a substantial reduction in incidence and mortality" (15). This is similar to the conclusion drawn in a study of the introduction of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in Kenya, which would be "highly cost-effective from a societal perspective" (16), and of Hib conjugate vaccine in India, which would also be cost-effective (17).

One novel solution is proposed in the application of cost-effectiveness analyses in developing countries, using the example of rotavirus vaccination in India and Ethiopia (18). The authors propose that incorporating financial risk protection and distributional consequences across the whole wealth strata of the country into the economic evaluation of vaccine policy enables "selection of vaccine packages based on the quantitative inclusion of information on equity and on how much financial risk protection is being bought per dollar expenditure on vaccine policy, in addition to how much health is being bought." Another rotavirus example, this time from Malawi, noted that the cost of implementation would be high when compared with the government health budget per capita and that new financing opportunities were necessary (19). A study on the cost of the Colombian Expanded Program on Immunization proposes using standardized tools to improve cost data for program planning (20).

A number of studies have been performed in the following middle-income countries: Turkey, Mexico, Thailand, Malaysia, Brazil, and Indonesia. By contrast with the stated reasons for performing such studies in developing countries, it is more likely that the reasons for performing them in middle-income countries are to improve the overall economic outlook, promote productivity gains, and foster further investment in vaccination (21). A review of economic evaluations of hepatitis A virus vaccination in middle-income countries suggests that such vaccination could be cost-saving (22), while a Turkish study, highly populated with local data, suggests that pneumococcal conjugate vaccination in Turkey would be very cost-effective as an intervention (6). Another review of the economic evaluation of influenza vaccination in middle-income countries came to the conclusion that in middle-income countries, "influenza vaccination provided value for money for elderly, infants, adults, and children with high-risk conditions" (23). However, the authors went on to note that "serious methodological limitations do not allow drawing conclusions on cost-effectiveness of influenza vaccination in middleincome countries" and that "evidence on cost-effectiveness from low-income countries is lacking altogether."

We caution, however, that the published state-of-theart health economic research can be misleading. In correspondence regarding how health economic research is represented from South Africa, the authors Gow et al. (4) draw attention to the shortcomings of what is purported to be a systematic review of health economic research in South Africa (5).

Developing count
 Olate at

 Table 3. Search result (C) "pharmacoeconomics (2013 to June 2014)

Therapeutic area	Number of studies
Oncology	27
Immunology/rheumatology	12
Cardiology	9
Analgesia/anaesthesia	4
Psychiatry	4
Diabetes	3
Haematology	3
Hepatitis C virus	3
Orphan drugs	3
Vaccines	3
Urology/renal	2
Alzheimer	2
Gastroenterology	2
Respiratory/rhinosinusitis	2
Epilepsy; STDs; osteoporosis; invasive fungal disease; Chinese medicines; generics; Caesarian Section	1 each
TOTAL	86
"Generic" aspects of pharmacoeconomic a	analyses
Health state valuation	7
Personalised medicine	5
Pricing	4
Pharmacoeconomic education; survival analysis; heterogeneity of treatment; diagnostics/biomarkers; early scientific advice; psychosocial/behaviour	2 each
Questionnaires; budget impact analysis; OTC switch; patient adherence; prescription database; formularies; social interaction systems; risk sharing; law; comparative effectiveness; new technologies	1 each
TOTAL	39

The analyses performed in developed countries are most likely performed to minimize costs to the healthcare system in exchange for the maximum benefit and/or define a level of cost-effectiveness. An in-depth examination of economic evaluations of vaccines in Europe was performed by Postma et al. (11), citing the need for models to be, at times, rather complex.

Regarding the imbalance in published studies-there being more studies addressing therapeutic areas rather than vaccines-this is partly due to the larger number of therapeutic medicines as opposed to prophylactic vaccines and partly due to the sometimes very expensive costs of some therapeutics. But, it is the imbalance within "therapeutic" studies that is also notable-there being a predominance of studies in oncology, immunology, and rheumatology. Again, this is likely to be due to the sometimes very expensive costs in these therapeutic areas and the need to provide justification for using healthcare resources in these areas.

There are some attempts to look into the future-prophylaxis of HPV to prevent future cervical cancer in lowand middle-income countries (13, 24), severity-based analyses for influenza pandemics (25), prophylaxis of HBV to prevent future hepatocellular carcinoma (22, 26, 27) and there are also attempts to look at vaccines under development: malaria (28), dengue (29, 30), and RSV (31). There are also a few studies looking at the potential for the "elimination" of measles (9, 32-34).

What is clear is that pharmacoeconomic analyses have been performed and continue to be performed when new vaccines appear, when "old" vaccines are studied in new situations, and when economic predictions need to be made to direct resource allocation. While there is a relative paucity of analyses in lowincome/developing countries and a relative paucity of data to be inserted into the models, those analyses performed in these situations are helpful in guiding policy decisions. One hesitates to recommend standardization because of the great heterogeneity between low-income/ developing countries themselves and between them and middle-income and developed countries. Nevertheless, a degree of cross-country and cross-regional cooperation would be helpful.

Conclusion

Pharmacoeconomic analyses are performed preferentially in developed countries, where there is an imperative to obtain "value for money" and to achieve costeffectiveness. Such analyses are performed in lowincome/developing countries and middle-income countries partly to save scarce resources but also to improve the overall economic outlook, promote productivity gains, and foster further investment in vaccination. Analyses appear to be performed preferentially for therapeutic products as opposed to vaccines, but this may change, as the impact of applying the results of pharmacoeconomic analyses of vaccines are measured and quantified.

Acknowledgements: Professor Maarten Postma is gratefully acknowledged for providing helpful comments.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the author.

Financial Disclosure: The author declared that this study has received no financial support.

Teşekkür: Prof. Maarten Postma'ya yayınımıza katkı sağlayan yorumları için teşekkür ederiz.

Çıkar Çatışması: Yazar çıkar çatışması bildirmemiştir.

Finansal Destek: Yazar bu çalışma için finansal destek almadığını beyan etmiştir.

References

- Barnieh L, Manns B, Harris A, et al. A synthesis of drug reimbursement decision-making processes in Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Countries. Value in Health 2014; 17: 98-108. [CrossRef]
- Hass B, Pooley J, Feuring M, Suvarna V, Harrington AE. Health technology assessment and its role in the future development of the Indian healthcare sector. Perspectives in Clinical Research 2012; 3: 66-72. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/ articles/PMC3371551/
- Panerai RB, Mohr JP. Health Technology Assessment Methodologies for Developing Countries. Pan American Health Organization, World Health Organization, 525 Twenty-third Street NW, Washington DC 20037, USA. http://www2.paho.org/ hq/dmdocuments/2010/HealthTechnologyAssessment Eng.pdf
- Gow J, Strauss M, Whiteside A. The state of health economic research in South Africa. Pharmacoeconomics 2013; 31: 251-3.
 [CrossRef]
- Gavaza P, Rascati KL, Oladapo AO, Khoza S. The state of health economic research in South Africa: a systematic review. Pharmacoeconomics 2012; 30: 925-40. [CrossRef]
- Turel O, Kisa A, McIntosh ED, Bakir M. Potential cost-effectiveness of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV) in Turkey. Value in Health 2012; 16: 755-9. [CrossRef]
- Termrungruanglert W, Havanond P, Khemapech N, et al. Cost and effectiveness evaluation of prophylactic HPV vaccine in developing countries. Value in Health 2012; 15: 29-34. [CrossRef]
- Berndt ER, Glennerster R, Kremer MR, et al. Advance market commitments for vaccines against neglected diseases: estimating costs and effectiveness. Health Economics 2007; 16: 491-511.
 [CrossRef]
- Thompson KM, Strebel PM, Dabbagh A, Cherian T, Cochi SL. Enabling implementation of the Global Vaccine Action Plan: developing investment cases to achieve targets for measles and rubella prevention. Vaccine 2013; 31: 149-56. [CrossRef]
- Armstrong EP. Economic benefits and costs associated with target vaccinations. Journal of Managed Care Pharmacy (JMCP) 2007; 13: 12-5.
- Postma MJ, Standaert BA. Economics of vaccines revisited. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics 2013; 9: 1139-41. [CrossRef]
- 12. Jayakrishnan T. National Vaccine Policy: ethical equity issues. Indian Journal of Medical Ethics 2013; 10: 183-90.
- Fesenfeld M, Hutubessy R, Jit M. Cost-effectiveness of human papillomavirus vaccination in low and middle income countries: a systematic review. Vaccine 2013; 31: 3786-804.
 [CrossRef]

- Khattak SK, Mehsud SU, Haider IZ, Burki MR. A pharmacoeconomic study in two tertiary care hospitals in Abbottabad. J Ayub Med Col Abbottabad 2012; 24: 147-9.
- Fonseca AJ, Ferreira LC, Neto GB. Cost-effectiveness of the vaccine against human papillomavirus in the Brazilian Amazon region. Revista Da Associacao Medica Brasileira 2013; 59: 442-51. [CrossRef]
- Ayieko P, Griffiths UK, Ndiritu M, et al. Assessment of health benefits and cost-effectiveness of 10-valent and 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccination in Kenyan children. PLoS ONE 2013; 8: e67324. [CrossRef]
- 17. Gupta M, Prinja S, Kumar R, Kaur M. Cost-effectiveness of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) vaccine introduction in the universal immunization schedule in Haryana State, India. Health Policy & Planning 2013; 28: 51-61. [CrossRef]
- Verguet S, Murphy S, Anderson R, Johansson KA, Glass R, Rheingans R. Public finance of rotavirus vaccination in India and Ethiopia: an extended cost-effectiveness analysis. Vaccine 2013; 31: 4902-10. [CrossRef]
- Madsen LB, Ustrup M, Hansen KS, Nyasulu PS, Bygberg IC, Konradsen F. Estimating the costs of implementing the rotavirus vaccine in the national immunization programme: the case of Malawi. Tropical Medicine and International Health 2014; 19: 177-85. [CrossRef]
- 20. Castaneda-Orjuela C, Romero M, Arce P, et al. Using standardized tools to improve immunization costing data for program planning: the cost of the Colombian Expanded Program on Immunization. Vaccine 2013; 31: 72-9. [CrossRef]
- Deogaonkar R, Hutubessy R, van der Putten I, Evers S, Jit M. Systematic review of studies evaluating the broader economic impact of vaccination in low and middle income countries. BMC Public Health 2012; 12: 878. [CrossRef]
- Suwantika AA, Yegenoglu S, RiewpaiboonA, Tu HA, Postma MJ. Economic evaluations of hepatitis A vaccination in middle-income countries. Expert Review of Vaccines 2013; 12: 1479-94. [CrossRef]
- Ott JJ, Klein Breteler J, Tam JS, Hutubessy RC, Jit M, de Boer MR. Influenza vaccines in low and middle income countries: a systematic review of economic evaluations. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics 2013; 9: 1500-11. [CrossRef]
- Ezat WP, Aljunid S, Cost-effectiveness of HPV vaccination in the prevention of cervical cancer in Malaysia. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention (APJCP) 2010; 11: 79-90.
- Kelso JK, Halder N, Milne GJ. Vaccination strategies for future influenza pandemics: a severity-based cost effectiveness analyses. BMC Infectious Diseases 2013; 13: 81. [CrossRef]
- Boccalini S, Taddei C, Ceccherini V, et al. Economic analysis of the first 20 years of universal hepatitis B vaccination program in Italy: an *a posteriori* evaluation and forecast of future benefits. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics 2013; 9: 1119-28. [CrossRef]
- 27. Barbosa C, Smith EA, Hoerger TJ, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of the national Perinatal Hepatitis B Prevention Program. Pediatrics 2014; 133: 243-53. [CrossRef]
- Maire N, Shillcutt SD, Walker DG, Tediosi F, Smith TA. Costeffectiveness of the introduction of a pre-erythrocytic malaria vaccine into the expanded program on immunization in sub-Saharan Africa: analysis of uncertainties using a stochastic individual-based simulation model of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. Value in Health 2011; 14: 1028-38. [CrossRef]
- 29- Durham DP, Ndeffo Mbah ML, Medlock J, et al. Dengue dynamics and vaccine cost-effectiveness in Brazil. Vaccine 2013; 31: 3957-61. [CrossRef]

- Barnighausen T, Blook DE, Cafiero ET, O'Brien JC. Valuing the broader benefits of dengue vaccination, with a preliminary application to Brazil. Seminars in Immunology 2013; 25: 104-13.
 [CrossRef]
- Regnier SA. Respiratory syncytial virus immunization program for the United States: impact of performance determinants of a theoretical vaccine. Vaccine 2013; 31: 4347-54. [CrossRef]
- Bae GR, Choe YJ, Go UY, Kim YI, Lee JK. Economic analysis of measles elimination program in the Republic of Korea, 2001: a cost benefit analysis study. Vaccine 2013; 31: 2661-6.
 [CrossRef]
- Wichmann O, Ultsch B. Effectiveness, population-level effects, and health economics of measles and rubella vaccination. Bundesgesundheitsblatt, Gesundheitsforschung, Gesundheitsschutz 2013; 56: 1260-9. [CrossRef]
- Verguet S, Jassat W, Bertram MY, et al. Supplementary immunization activities (SIAs) in South Africa: comprehensive economic evaluation of an integrated child health delivery platform. Global Health Action 2013; 6: 1-9. [CrossRef]
- Plosker GL. Pharmacoeconomic spotlight on rotavirus vaccine RIX4414 (Rotarix) in developed countries. Drugs in R&D 2012; 12: 239-44. [CrossRef]
- Demarteau N, Tang CH, Chen HC, Chen CJ, van Kriekinge G. Cost-effectiveness analysis of the bivalent compared with the quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccines in Taiwan. Value in Health 2012; 15: 622-31. [CrossRef]
- Wu DB, Chang CJ, Huang YC, Wen YW, Wu CL, Fann CS. Cost-effectiveness analysis of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in Taiwan: a transmission dynamic modeling approach. Value in Health 2012; 15: 15-9. [CrossRef]
- de Soarez PC, Sartori AM, de Andrade Lagoa Nobrega L, Itria A, Novaes HM. Cost-effectiveness analysis of a universal infant immunization program with meningococcal C conjugate vaccine in Brazil. Value in Health 2011; 14: 1019-27. [CrossRef]
- Clements KM, Chancellor J, Nichol K, DeLong K, Thompson D. Cost-effectiveness of a recommendation of universal mass vaccination for seasonal influenza in the United States. Value in Health 2011; 14: 800-11. [CrossRef]
- Giglio N, Micone P, Gentile A. The pharmacoeconomics of pneumococcal conjugate vaccines in Latin America. Vaccine 2011; 29: 35-42. [CrossRef]
- Mucino-Ortega E, Mould-Quevedo JF, Farkouh R, Strutton D. Economic evaluation of an infant immunization program in Mexico, based on 13-valent pneumococcal conjugated vaccines. Value in Health 2011; 14: 65-70. [CrossRef]
- Prosser LA, Payne K, Rusinak D, Shi P, Uyeki T, Messonnier M. Valuing health across the lifespan : health state preferences for seasonal influenza illnesses in patients of different ages. Value in Health 2011; 14: 135-43. [CrossRef]
- 43. Pomfret TC, Gagnon JM Jr, Gilchrist AT. Quadrivalent human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine: a review of safety, efficacy, and pharmacoeconomics. Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics 2011; 36: 1-9. [CrossRef]
- Armstrong EP. Prophylaxis of cervical cancer and related cervical disease: a review of the cost-effectiveness of vaccination against oncogenic HPV types. Journal of Managed Care Pharmacy 2010; 16: 217-30.
- 45. Griffiths UK, Miners A. Economic evaluations of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b vaccine: systematic review of the literature. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research 2009; 9: 333-46. [CrossRef]
- Ryan J, Zoellner Y, Gradl B, Palache B, Medema J. Establishing the health and economic impact of influence vaccination within the European Union 25 countries. Vaccine 2006; 24: 6812-22. [CrossRef]

- 47. Bos JM, Rumke HC, Welte R, Spanjaard L, van Alphen L, Postma MJ. Combination vaccine against invasive meningococcal B and pneumococcal infections: potential epidemiological and economic impact in The Netherlands. Pharmacoeconomics 2006; 24: 141-53. [CrossRef]
- Rheingans RD, Heylen J, Giaquinto C. Economics of rotavirus gastroenteritis and vaccination in Europe: what makes sense? Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal 2006; 25: 48-55. [CrossRef]
- Hammerschmidt T, Banz K, Wagenpfeil S, Neiss A, Wutzler P. Economic evaluation of varicella vaccination programmes: a review of the literature. Pharmacoeconomics 2004; 22: 133-6. [CrossRef]
- Postma MJ, Heihnen ML, Beutels P, Jager JC. Pharmacoeconomics of elderly vaccination against invasive pneumococcal infections: cost-effectiveness analyses and implications for The Netherlands. Expert Review of Vaccines 2003; 2: 477-82. [CrossRef]
- Thiry N, Beutels P, van Damme P, van Doorslaer E. Economic evaluations of varicella vaccination programmes: a review of the literature. Pharmacoeconomics 2003; 21: 13-38.
 [CrossRef]
- 52. Postma MJ, Jansema P, van Genugten ML, Heijnen ML, Jager JC, de Jong-van den Berg LT. Pharmacoeconomics of influenza vaccination for health working adults: reviewing the available evidence; Drugs 2002; 62: 1013-24. [CrossRef]
- Iskedjian M, Einarson TR, O'Brien BJ, et al. Economic evaluation of a new acellular vaccine for pertussis in Canada. Pharmacoeconomics 2001; 19: 551-63. [CrossRef]
- 54. Postma MJ, Baltussen RM, Heijnen ML, de Berg LT, Jager JC. Pharmacoeconomics of influenza vaccination in the elderly: reviewing the available evidence. Drugs and Aging 2000; 17: 217-27. [CrossRef]
- Wandstrat TL, Kaplan-Machlis B, Temple ME, Nahata MC. Tetravalent rotavirus vaccine. Annals of Pharmacotherapy 1999; 33: 833-9. [CrossRef]
- Ginsberg GM. Cost-utility of interventions to reduce the burden of cervical cancer in Israel. Vaccine 2013; 31: 146-52. [CrossRef]
- Lugner AK, van der Maas N, van Boven M, Mooi FR, de Melker HE. Cost-effectiveness of targeted vaccination to protect newborns against pertussis: comparing neonatal, maternal, and cocooning vaccination strategies. Vaccine 2013; 31: 5392-7.
 [CrossRef]
- Chang WC, Yen C, Chi CL, et al. Cost-effectiveness of rotavirus vaccination programs in Taiwan. Vaccine 2013; 31: 5458-65. [CrossRef]
- Peasah SK, Azziz-Baumgarnter E, Breese J, Meltzer MI, Widdowson MA. Influenza cost and cost-effectiveness studies globally-a review. Vaccine 2013; 31: 5339-48. [CrossRef]
- McGarry LJ, Krishnarajah G, Hill G, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of Tdap in the prevention of pertussis in the elderly. PLoS ONE 2013; 8: e67260. [CrossRef]
- Pouwels KB, Hak E, van der Ende A, Christensen H, van den Dobbelsteen GP, Postma MJ. Cost-effectiveness of vaccination against meningococcal B among Dutch infants: crucial impact of changes in incidence. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics 2013; 9: 1129-38.
- Itzler R, O'Brien MA, Yamabe K, Abe M, Dhankhar P. Costeffectiveness of a pentavalent rotavirus vaccine in Japan. Journal of Medical Economics 2013; 16: 1216-27. [CrossRef]
- Bresse X, Adam M, Largeron N, Roze S, Marty R. A comparative analysis of the epidemiological impact and disease costsavings of HPV vaccines in France. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics 2013; 9: 823-33. [CrossRef]
- 64. Jit M, Newall AT, Beutels P. Key issues for estimating the impact and cost-effectiveness of seasonal influenza vaccina-

tion strategies. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics 2013; 9: 834-40. [CrossRef]

- Bilcke J, van Hoek AJ, Beutels P. Childhood varicella-zoster virus vaccination in Belgium: cost-effective only in the long run or without exogenous boosting? Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics 2013; 9: 812-22. [CrossRef]
- Brisson M, Laprise JF, Drolet M, et al. Comparative costeffectiveness of the quadrivalent and bivalent human papillomavirus vaccines: a transmission-dynamic modeling study. Vaccine 2013; 31: 3863-71. [CrossRef]
- Luttjeboer J, Westra TA, Wilschut JC, Nijman HW, Daemen T, Postma MJ. Cost-effectiveness of the prophylactic HPV vaccine: an application to The Netherlands taking non-cervical cancers and cross-protection into account. Vaccine 2013; 31: 3922-7. [CrossRef]
- Smith KJ, Nowalk MP, Raymund M, Zimmerman RK. Costeffectiveness of pneumococcal conjugate vaccination in immunocompromised adults. Vaccine 2013; 31: 3950-6.
 [CrossRef]
- Demarteau N, van Kriekinge G, Simon P. Incremental costeffectiveness evaluation of vaccinating girls against cervical cancer pre- and post-sexual debut in Belgium. Vaccine 2013; 31: 3962-71. [CrossRef]
- Kuan RK, Janssen R, Heyward W, Bennett S, Nordyke R. Costeffectiveness of hepatitis B vaccination using HEPLISAV in selected adult populations compared to Engerix-B vaccine. Vaccine 2013; 31: 4024-32. [CrossRef]
- Cortes I, Perez-Camarero S, del Llano J, Pena LM, Hidalgo-Vega A. Systematic review of economic evaluation analyses of available vaccines in Spain from 2990 to 2012. Vaccine 2013; 31: 3473-84. [CrossRef]
- Drolet M, Laprise JF, Boily MC, Franco EL, Brisson M. Potential cost-effectiveness of the nonavalent papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine. International Journal of Cancer 2014; 134: 2264-8. [CrossRef]
- Agusto FB. Optimal isolation control strategies and costeffectiveness analysis of a two-strain avian influenza model. Biosystems 2013; 113: 155-64. [CrossRef]
- Itatani T, Shimizu S, Iwasa M, Ohkusa Y, Hayakawa K. Costeffectiveness analysis of a pertussis vaccination programme for Japan considering intergenerational infection. Vaccine 2013; 31: 2891-7. [CrossRef]
- Uuskula A, Muusepp A, Kawai K, Raag M, Jurisson M, Pillsbury M, The epidemiological and economic impact of a quadrivalent human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine in Estonia. BMC Infectious Diseases 2013; 13: 304. [CrossRef]
- Kulpeng W, Leelahavarong P, Rattanavipapong W, et al. Costutility analysis of 10- and 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccines: protection at what price in the Thai context? Vaccine 2013; 31: 2839-47. [CrossRef]
- Giri P, Basu S, Farrow D, Adiseh A, Cost-effectiveness analysis of MMR immunization in health care workers. Occupational Medicine (Oxford) 2013; 63: 422-4. [CrossRef]
- Hoshi SL, Kondo M, Okubo I. Economic evaluation of vaccination programme of 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine to the birth cohort in Japan. Vaccine 2013; 31: 2762-71. [CrossRef]
- Gomez JA, Tirado JC, Navarro Rojas AA, Castrejon Alba MM, Topachevskyi O. Cost-effectiveness and cost utility analysis of three pneumococcal conjugate Vaccines in children in Peru. BMC Public Health 2013; 13: 1025. [CrossRef]
- Christensen H, Hickman M, Edmunds WJ, Trotter CL. Introducing vaccination against serogroup B meningococcal disease: an economic and mathematical modelling study of potential impact. Vaccine 2013; 31: 2638-46. [CrossRef]

- Eilers R, Krabbe PF, van Essen TG, Suijkerbuijk A, van Lier A, de Melker HE. Assessment of vaccine candidates for persons aged 50 and older: a review. BMC Geriatrics 2013; 13: 32.
 [CrossRef]
- de la Hoz-Restrepo F, Castaneda-Orjuela C, Paternina A, Alvis-Guzman N. Systematic review of incremental non-vaccine cost estimates used in cost-effectiveness analysis on the introduction of rotavirus and pneumococcal Vaccines. Vaccine 2013; 31: 80-7. [CrossRef]
- Bahia L, Toscano CM, Takemoto ML, Araujo DV. Systematic review of pneumococcal disease costs and productivity loss studies in Latin America and the Caribbean. Vaccine 2013; 31: 33-44.
 [CrossRef]
- Clark A, Jauregui B, Griffiths U, et al. TRIVAC decision-support model for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of *Haemophilus influenzae* typ b, pneumococcal and rotavirus vaccination. Vaccine 2013; 31: 19-29. [CrossRef]
- de Oliveira LH, Toscano CM, Sanwogou NJ, et al. Systematic documentation of new vaccine introduction in selected countries of the Latin American region. Vaccine 2013; 3: 114-22.
 [CrossRef]
- Yoo BK, Humiston SG, Szilagyi PG, Schaffer SJ, Long C, Kolasa M. Cost effectiveness analysis of elementary schoollocated vaccination against influenza – results from a randomized controlled trial. Vaccine 2013; 31: 2156-64. [CrossRef]
- Suwantika AA, Tu HA, Postma MJ. Cost-effectiveness of rotavirus immunization in Indonesia: taking breastfeeding patterns into account. Vaccine 2013; 31: 3300-7. [CrossRef]
- O'Connor AC, Kennedy ED, Loomis RJ, et al. Prospective cost-benefit analysis of a two-dimensional barcode for vaccine production, clinical documentation, and public health reporting and tracking. Vaccine 2013; 31: 3179-86. [CrossRef]
- Barrett S. Economic considerations for the eradication endgame. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London-Series B: Biological Sciences 2013; 368: 20120149. [CrossRef]
- Bresse X, Annemans L, Preaud E, Bloch K, Duru G, Gauthier A. Vaccination against herpes zoster and potherpetic neuralgia in France: a cost-effectiveness analysis. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research 2013; 13: 393-406. [CrossRef]
- Ding Y, Yeh SH, Mink CA, Zangwill KM, Allred NJ, Hay JW. Cost-benefit analysis of hospital based postpartum vaccination with combined tetanus toxoid, reduced diphtheria toxoid, and acellular pertussis vaccine (Tdap). Vaccine 2013; 31: 2558-64. [CrossRef]
- Hepkema H, Pouwels KB, van der Ende A, Westra TA, Postma MJ. Meningococcal serogroup A, C, W135 and Y conjugated vaccine: a cost-effectiveness analysis in The Netherlands. PLoS ONE 2013; 8: e65036. [CrossRef]
- Wu DB, Rinaldi F, Huang YC, Chang JA, Chang CJ. Economic evaluation of universal 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccination in Taiwan: a cost-effectiveness analysis. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association 2013; 112: 151-60. [CrossRef]
- Lin CJ, Zimmerman RK, Smith KJ. Cost-effectiveness of pneumococcal and influenza vaccination standing order programs. American Journal of Managed Care 2013; 19: 30-7.
- Stoecker C, Hampton LM, Link-Gelles R, Messonnier ML, Zhou F, Moore MR. Cost-effectiveness of using 2 vs 3 primary doses of 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine. Pediatrics 2013; 132: 324-32. [CrossRef]
- Clark AD, Griffiths UK, Abbas SS, et al. Impact of cost-effectiveness of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b conjugate vaccination in India. Journal of Pediatrics 2013; 163: 60-72. [CrossRef]

- 97. Griffiths UK, Clark A, Hajjeh R. Cost-effectiveness of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b conjugate vaccine in lowand middle-income countries: regional analysis and assessment of major determinants. Journal of Pediatrics 2013; 163: 50-9.e9.
- Terranella A, Asay GR, Messonnier ML, Clark TA, Liang JL. Pregnancy dose Tdap and postpartum cocooning to prevent infant pertussis: a decision analysis. Pediatrics 2013; 131: 1748-56. [CrossRef]
- Bruijning-Verhagen P, Mangen MJ, Felderhof M, et al. Targeted rotavirus vaccination of high-risk infants: a low cost and highly cost-effective alternative to universal vaccination. BMC Medicine 2013; 11: 112. [CrossRef]
- 100.Postma MJ, Westra TA, Quilici S, Largeron N. Economic evaluation of vaccines: specificities and future challenges illustrated by recent European examples. Expert Review of Vaccines 2013; 12: 555-65. [CrossRef]
- 101.Babigumira JB, Morgan I, Levin A. Health economics of rubella: a systematic review to assess the value of rubella vaccination. BMC Public Health 2013; 13: 406. [CrossRef]
- 102.Smith KJ, Wateska AR, Nowalk MP, Raymund M, Lee BY, Zimmerman RK. Modeling of cost effectiveness of pneumococcal conjugate vaccination strategies in U.S. older adults. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 2013; 44: 373-81. [CrossRef]
- 103.Garcia-Altes A. Systematic review of economic evaluation studies: are vaccination programs efficient in Spain? Vaccine 2013; 31: 1656-65. [CrossRef]
- 104.Goldman GS, King PG. Review of the United States universal varicella vaccination program: Herpes zoster incidence rates, cost-effectiveness, and vaccine efficacy based primarily on the Antelope Valley Varicella Active Surveillance Project data. Vaccine 2013; 31: 1680-94. [CrossRef]
- 105.Kang HY, Kim KH, Kim JH, et al. Economic evaluation of the national immunization program of rotavirus vaccination for children in Korea. Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health 2013; 2592: 145-58. [CrossRef]
- 106. Jit M, Levin C, Brisson M, et al. Economic analyses to support decisions about HPV vaccination in low- and middle-income countries: a consensus report and guie to analysis. BMC Medicine 2013: 11: 23. [CrossRef]
- 107.Vitale F, Barbieri M, Dirodi B, Vitali Rosati G, Franco E. A full economic evaluation of extensive vaccination against rotavirus with RIX4414 vaccine at National and Regional level in Italy. Annali di Igiene 2013; 2591: 43-56.
- 108.Westra TA, Stirbu-Wagner I, Dorsman S, et al. Inclusion of the benefits of enhanced cross-protection against cervical cancer

and prevention of genital wards in the cost-effectiveness analysis of human papillomavirus vaccination in The Netherlands. BMC Infectious Diseases 2013; 13: 75. [CrossRef]

- 109.Klok RM, Lindkvist RM, Ekelund M, Farkouh RA, Strutton DR. Cost-effectiveness of a 10- versus 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in Denmark and Sweden. Clinical Therapeutics 2013; 35: 119-34. [CrossRef]
- 110.van Vlaenderen I, van Bellinghen LA, Meier G, Nautrup BP. An approximation of herd effect due to vaccinating children against seasonal influenza – a potential solution to the incorporation of indirect effects into static models. BMC Infectious Diseases 2013; 13: 25. [CrossRef]
- 111.de Boer PT, Pouwels KB, Cox JM, Hak E, Wilschut JC, Postma MJ. Cost-effectiveness of vaccination of the elderly against herpes zoster in The Netherlands. Vaccine 2013; 31: 1276-83. [CrossRef]
- 112.Tu HA, Rozenbaum MH, de Boer PT, Noort AC, Postma MJ. An update of "cost-effectiveness of rotavirus vaccination in The Netherlands: the results of a Consensus Rotavirus Vaccine model". BMC Infectious Diseases 2013; 13: 54. [CrossRef]
- 113.Meregaglia M, Ferrara L, Melegaro A, Demicheli V. Parent "cocoon" immunization to prevent pertussis-related hospitalization in infants: the case of Piemonte in Italy. Vaccine 2013; 31: 1135-7. [CrossRef]
- 114.Szucx TD, Pfeil AM. A systematic review of the cost effectiveness of herpes zoster vaccination. Pharmacoeconomics 2013; 31: 125-36. [CrossRef]
- 115.Esselen KM, Feldman S. Cost-effectiveness of cervical cancer prevention. Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology 2013; 56: 55-64. [CrossRef]
- 116.Standaert B, Gomez JA, Raes M, Debrus S, Velazquez FR, Postma MJ. Impact of rotavirus vaccination on hospitalisations in Belgium: comparing model predictions with observed data. PLoS ONE 2013; 8: e53864. [CrossRef]
- 117. Pitman RJ, Nagy LD, Sculpher MJ. Cost-effectiveness of childhood influenza vaccination in England and Wales: results from a dynamic transmission model. Vaccine 2013; 31:927-42. [CrossRef]
- 118. Paternina-Caicedo A, de la Hoz-Restrepo F, Gamboa-Garay O, Castaneda-Orjuela C, Velandia-Gonzalez M, Alvis-Guzman N. How cost effective is universal varicela vaccination in developing countries? A case-study from Colombia. Vaccine 2013; 31: 402-9. [CrossRef]
- 119. Hoerger TJ, Schillie S, Wittenborn JS, et al. Cost-effectiveness of hepatitis B vaccination in adults with diagnosed diabetes. Diabetes Care 2013; 36: 63-9. [CrossRef]